Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/565,018	MUHLHOFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ahmed M. Farah	3769
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) Ahmed M. Farah.	(3)	
(2) Dougles J. Christensen (Reg. No. 35,480).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 November 2010	Time: <u>10:25 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Applicat ☐ Applicat ☐ Yes ☐ No	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 22 and 30.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Minor informalities in the claims languages were discussed and c authorized the examiner to make the agreed changes by Examin	orrective terms were agreed. The er's Amendment (EA). See the at	applicant's representative tached EA.
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)